	1 2 3 4	RICHARD K. GROSBOLL, State Bar No. 99729 EILEEN M. BISSEN, State Bar No. 245821 NEYHART, ANDERSON, FLYNN & GROSBOL 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2080 San Francisco, CA 94104 Tel. (415) 677-9440 Fax (415) 677-9445 Email: ebissen@neyhartlaw.com	L
	5		
	6	I MITED OTATEO DI	OTDIOT COLIDA
	7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
	8		
	9		
	10	ELECTRICAL INDUSTRY SERVICE BUREAU, INC.; et al.,	Case No. 09-CV-05940 JSW
	11	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION FOR CONTINGENT
	12	· v.	ORDER OF DISMISSAL & [PROPOSED] CONTINGENT ORDER
	13	ALL AREA ELECTRIC &	OF DISMISSAL
	14	CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, a California corporation;	
	15	Defendant.	
	16		
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
NEYHART, INDERSON,	25		
FLYNN & GROSBOLL	26 -	-1-	
ORNETS AT LAW	27	STIPULATION FOR CONTINGENT ORDER (Case No. 09-CV-05940 JSW DF DISMISSAL & [PROPOSED] CONTINGENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL

NEYHART, Anderson,

FLYNN & GROSBOLL

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1 2 3	Dated: 2/24/// SIMPSON, GARRITY, INNES & JACUZZI PC By: PAUL SIMPSON Attorneys for Defendant
4	
5	
6	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 Neyhart, Anderson, 25	
FLYNN & GROSBOLL 26	-3-
ATTORNEYS AT LAW 26	Case No. 09-CV-05940 JSW STIPULATION FOR CONTINGENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL & [PROPOSED] CONTINGENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL

[PROPOSED] ORDER: Į The parties hereto, having advised the Court that they have agreed to a settlement of this 2 action, 3 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is dismissed with prejudice, provided, 4 however, that if any party hereto shall certify to this Court, with proof of service of copy thereon 5 on the Defendant or its counsel, on or before August 1, 2013, that the agreed consideration for the 6 settlement has not been delivered over, the foregoing Order shall vacated by this Court and this 7 action shall forthwith be restored to the calendar. Plaintiffs may then file the Stipulation for Entry 8 of Judgment referred to in the above stipulation. Judgment will then be entered forthwith. 9 10 IT IS SO ORDERED. П March 23, 2011 12 Dated: 13 Court Judge 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 NEYHART, ANDERSON, 25 FLYNN & GROSBOLL -4-26 ATTORNEYS AT LAW Case No. 09-CV-05940 JSW STIPULATION FOR CONTINGENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL & [PROPOSED] CONTINGENT 27 ORDER OF DISMISSAL

1 PROOF OF SERVICE BY U.S. FIRST-CLASS MAIL 2 I, the undersigned, declare: 3 I am employed in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action; my business address is 369 Pine Street, Suite 800, San 4 Francisco, California 94104. On March 22, 2011, I served the within: STIPULATION FOR CONTINGENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL & 6 [PROPOSED] CONTINENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL 7 on the parties in said cause following our business practice, with which I am readily familiar. On the same 8 day that correspondence is placed for collection and delivery, it is deposited, in the ordinary course of business, with the U.S. Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully pre-paid. I placed a 9 true copy of the within document enclosed in a sealed envelope with first class postage thereon fully prepaid for delivery on March 23, 2011, at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows: 10 Paul Simpson, Esq. 11 Sarah Lucas, Esq. Simpson, Garrity, Innes & Jacuzzi PC 12 601 Gateway Blvd Ste 950 13 South San Francisco, CA 94080 14 I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on March 22, 2011, at San Francisco, California. 15 16 17 Eileen Bissen 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 STIPULATION FOR CONTINGENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL & [PROPOSED] CONTINGENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL 28 Case No. 09-CV-05940 JSW

NEYHART, ANDERSON,

FLYNN & GROSBOLL

ATTORNEYS AT LAW